

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Department of Ecology AO #10-14						
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 11-08-062				Original Notice		
<ul><li>Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR</li><li>Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).</li></ul>			_; or	Supplemental Notice to WSR		
<b>Title of rule and other identifying information:</b> The Department of Ecology proposes to adopt amendments to the Uses and Limitations of the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund (Revolving Fund), Chapter 173-98 Washington Administrative Code (WAC), and Uses and Limitations of Centennial Clean Water Fund (Centennial), Chapter 173-95A WAC.						
Hearing location(s): The public hearing will be held at the four sites listed below. The sites will be linked through video conferencing. Interested parties can attend any of the four sites to participate in the public hearing. Lacey will be the lead site for the hearing.  Lacey – Lead site  Ecology Headquarters Office 300 Desmond Drive SE Lacey, WA 98503  Yakima  Ecology Central Regional Office 15 West Yakima Ave – Ste.200 Yakima, WA 98902-3452		Submit written comments to: Name: Joseph Coppo Address: P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600 e-mail: joseph.coppo@ecy.wa.gov fax (360) 407-7151 by Aug. 3, 2011				
Spokane Ecology Eastern Regional Office 4601 N. Monroe Street Spokane, WA 99205-1295  Bellevue Bellevue, WA 98	egional Office ve. SE					
ate: July 26, 2011 Time: 2:00 p.m.		Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact The Water Quality Program, (360) 407-6502 by July 19, 2011				
Date of intended adoption: Sept. 7, 2011 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)		Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6341.				
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: The purpose of this rule proposal is to amend Chapter 173-98 WAC to establish funding categories, ceiling amounts, and project eligibility for Green Project Reserves (GPR) projects and forgivable principal loans as part of the Revolving Fund. Chapter 195-95A WAC is amended to maintain consistency between the Revolving Fund and Centennial rules.  Reasons supporting proposal: Adopting these changes will allow Ecology to continue to receive federal capitalization grants for the State's Revolving Fund program, which provides financial assistance to local governments for water quality improvement projects.						
Statutory authority for adoption: Chapter 90.48.035 RCW provides Ecology with authority to promulgate, amend, or rescind rules concerning water pollution control. Chapter 43.21.080 RCW provides Ecology the authority to adopt rules and regulations. Chapter 90.50A.040 RCW provides clear and direct authority for Ecology to revise rules to administer the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund.		Statute being implemented: Chapter 90.50A RCW, Water pollution control facilities — federal capitalization grants, and Chapter 70.146 RCW, Water pollution control facilities financing.				
Is rule necessary because of a:				CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
State Court Decision?	es No es No es No		OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED  DATE: June 22, 2011			
<b>DATE</b> 6/20/11				IE: 9:30 AM		
NAME (type or print) Polly Zehm			W	SR 11-13-125		
SIGNATURE BONY Zehm						
TITLE Deputy Director, Department of Ecology						

Agency comments or recommendations, if armatters: N/A  Name of proponent: (person or organization) December 1.	epartment of Ecology – Water Quality Program	Private			
		☐ Governmental			
Name of agency personnel responsible for:					
Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting Joseph Coppo	Headquarters - Lacey, Department of Ecology	(360) 407-6510			
ImplementationSteve Carley	Headquarters - Lacey, Department of Ecology	(360) 407-6572			
Enforcement Steve Carley	Headquarters - Lacey, Department of Ecology	(360) 407-6572			
No. Explain why no statement was prepare     No. Explain why no statement was prepared why no statement which was prepared why no statement which was prepared which was prepared which which which was prepared which which was pr	er 19.85 RCW because the stakeholders and clients impacted by	the proposed rule			
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?  No: Please explain: This is not a significant legislative rule and the rule relates only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a nongovernment party.					